

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 LIZVET SALAZAR GOMEZ, Case No. 1:21-cv-01314-AWI-SKO
13 Plaintiff,
14 v.
15 FCA US, LLC,
16 Defendant.

/

18
19 On March 22, 2022, upon receipt of Plaintiff's Notice of Settlement (Doc. 11), the Court
20 ordered the parties to file dispositional documents by no later than April 11, 2022. (Doc. 12.) On
21 June 21, 2022, more than two months after that deadline, Plaintiff filed a request for dismissal of
22 the matter with prejudice (the "Request"). (Doc. 13.) As the Request did not satisfy Rule 41 of the
23 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court denied the Request without prejudice to the parties
24 refile a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. (Doc. 14.) On August
25 22, 2022, after two months passed without any action by the parties, the Court ordered that
26 dispositional documents be filed by no later than August 25, 2022. (Doc. 15). To date, no such
27 documents have been filed. (*See Docket.*)

28

1 The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide, “[f]ailure of counsel or of
2 a party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court
3 of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” E.D. Cal. L.R. 110. “District
4 courts have inherent power to control their dockets,” and in exercising that power, a court may
5 impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los*
6 *Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action, with prejudice, based
7 on a party’s failure to prosecute an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with
8 local rules. *See, e.g., Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260–61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for
9 failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*,
10 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); *Henderson*
11 *v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply
12 with local rules).

13 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that, by no later than September 7, 2022, the parties shall
14 file dismissal documents, or a statement showing cause why the Court should not dismiss this
15 action, with prejudice, for failure to obey court orders. The Court further CAUTIONS the parties
16 that, if they fail to file this statement by September 7, 2022, the Court will recommend that this
17 action be dismissed in its entirety.

18

19

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: August 29, 2022

22 */s/ Sheila K. Oberto*
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

23

24

25

26

27

28